1	
2	
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
5	
6	LONNIE LEE BANARK,
7	Plaintiff, Case No. 2:16-cv-02555-JCM-GWF
8	vs. ORDER
9	JAMES DZURENDA, et al.,
10	Defendants.
11	
12	This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion to Supplement an Existing Civil Rights
13	Complaint (ECF No. 30) and Motion for Current Magistrate Judge to be Excluded (ECF No. 31), filed
14	on January 4, 2018.
15	Plaintiff's motion to supplement seeks to add information regarding a policy implemented by
16	Defendant Dzurenda, which Plaintiff believes was approved of with gross negligence and deliberate
17	indifference. To the extent that Plaintiff seeks to add this claim to his amended complaint, Plaintiff is
18	again advised that he must file a full and complete second amended complaint that includes Plaintiff's
19	original allegations and those he wishes to add. The Court will not piecemeal documents together to
20	determine what allegations Plaintiff seeks to raise in his complaint. The Court will therefore deny
21	Plaintiff's motion to supplement.
22	Plaintiff's motion for current magistrate judge to be excluded requests that the undersigned be
23	removed from the case because Plaintiff disagrees with the Court's prior Order (ECF No. 26) and
24	
25	1. If Plaintiff chooses to file a second amended complaint he is advised that a second amended complaint supersedes
26	(replaces) the original and amended complaints and, thus, the second amended complaint must be complete in itself. <i>See Hal Roach Studios, Inc. v. Richard Feiner & Co., Inc.</i> , 896 F.2d 1542, 1546 (9th Cir. 1989) (holding that "[t]he fact that a
7	party was named in the original complaint is irrelevant; an amended pleading supersedes the original"); see also Lacey v.

28

upersedes e in itself. See "[t]he fact that a e also Lacey v. Maricopa Cnty., 693 F.3d 896, 928 (9th Cir. 2012) (holding that for claims dismissed with prejudice, a plaintiff is not required to reallege such claims in a subsequent amended complaint to preserve them for appeal). Plaintiff's second amended complaint must contain all claims, defendants, and factual allegations that Plaintiff wishes to pursue in this lawsuit. Moreover, Plaintiff must file the second amended complaint on this Court's approved prisoner civil rights form and it must be entitled "Second Amended Complaint."

believes the undersigned is biased. Specifically, Plaintiff believes the language discussing how the filing fees must be taken out of his account pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2) is meant as a "pre-trial punishment" on Plaintiff and was encouraged by the Attorney General's office. This is simply not the case. The Court will therefore deny Plaintiff's motion to exclude as well. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Supplement an Existing Civil Rights Complaint (ECF No. 30) is **denied**, without prejudice to Plaintiff filing a proper motion to amend his complaint.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Motion for Current Magistrate Judge to be Excluded (ECF No. 31) is **denied**.

DATED this 8th day of January, 2018.

GEORGE FOLEY, JR. United States Magistrate Judge